AMENDMENTS TO THE CLAIMS

1. (Currently amended) A method for providing security in a computer system by a

clean group server, comprising:

selecting specifying a set of properties for use in determining if an item is clean;

in response to receiving an add request from an item, the add request containing evidence

collected from the item relating to the presence or absence of the properties in the specified set of

properties, evaluating an item the add request to determine if [[it]] the evidence proves that the

item has the specified set of properties; and

sending an add request to a clean group server; and

if the clean group server determines determining from the evidence in the add request

[[that]] whether the item has the specified set of properties, the clean group server and if so,

designating the item as a member of a clean group by instructing a domain controller to add the

item to the clean group, the domain controller configured to store information identifying

network users and resources.

2. (Currently amended) The method of Claim 1, wherein the items are computers

item is a computer.

3. (Currently amended) The method of Claim 2, wherein when [[a]] the computer is

to be evaluated, a clean component is installed on the computer to perform compliance checks

and to collect the evidence relating to the presence or absence of the properties in the specified

set of properties.

4. (Original) The method of Claim 1, wherein a compliance check is performed at a

selected time for an item to determine if the item has the specified set of properties.

LAW OFFICES OF CHRISTENSEN O'CONNOR JOHNSON KINDNESSPILLE 1420 Fifth Avenue Suite 2800

Suite 2800 Seattle, Washington 98101 206.682.8100

-2-

5. (Original) The method of Claim 1, wherein one of the specified set of properties

is whether all of the available updates have been installed.

6. (Original) The method of Claim 5, wherein the updates comprise at least one of

security updates or service packs.

7. (Currently amended) The method of Claim [[4]] 1, wherein if the compliance

check fails, a message is sent to indicate further comprising receiving a message sent by the

clean component after the item fails a compliance check performed by the clean component,

wherein the message indicates that the object item should not be in the clean group.

8. (Currently amended) The method of Claim 7, wherein if the compliance check

fails, the clean group membership of the item is invalidated further comprising invalidating the

clean group membership of the item in response to receiving the message.

9. (Currently amended) The method of Claim 8, wherein the invalidation of

invalidating the clean group membership of the item comprises local actions including at least

hiding the domain credentials of the item.

10. (Previously presented) The method of Claim 7, wherein if the compliance check

fails, additional steps are taken including at least hiding cryptographic keys.

11. (Canceled)

12. (Currently amended) The method of Claim [[11]] 1, wherein after a message is

received and a determination is made that the item should be in the item is designated as a

member of the clean group, a countdown is started and if another message is not received by the

end of the countdown, the item is removed from the clean group.

LAW OFFICES OF CHRISTENSEN O'CONNOR JOHNSON KINDNESSPILE 1420 Fifth Avenue Suite 2800

Suite 2800 Seattle, Washington 98101 206.682.8100

-3-

13. (Canceled)

14. (Currently amended) The method of Claim 1, wherein the clean group server

initiates further comprising initiating a status check to determine if the members items in the

clean group still have the specified properties.

15. (Currently amended) A system for managing security, comprising:

a clean group server;

a domain controller configured to store information identifying network users and

resources, including a clean group indicating a group of computers and users that are more

trusted than computers and users not included in the clean group;

an update component which includes updates for items;

a clean runtime component, the clean runtime component being installed on an item and

being able to communicate with the update component and the clean group server; and

the clean runtime component sending configured to send an add request to the clean

group server, the add request including evidence to be evaluated by the clean group server for

determining whether to add the item to a clean group; [[and]]

[[if]] wherein the clean group server is configured to determines that determine whether

the evidence sent by the [[item]] clean runtime component is sufficient to prove that the item has

a specified set of properties is in compliance with a security policy, and if so, the clean group

server to designates designate the item as a member of [[a]] the clean group by instructing the

domain controller to add the item to the clean group.

16. (Canceled)

17. (Original) The system of Claim 15, wherein the items comprise computers.

LAW OFFICES OF CHRISTENSEN O'CONNOR JOHNSON KINDNESSPLAC 1420 Fifth Avenue Suite 2800

Suite 2800 Seattle, Washington 98101 206.682.8100 18. (Currently amended) The system of Claim [[17]] 15, wherein the clean runtime component is configured to perform self-governance compliance checks are performed for the

items to determine if the items meet item meets [[the]] selected criteria.

19. (Original) The system of Claim 18, wherein one of the criteria is whether

selected available updates have been installed.

20. (Original) The system of Claim 19, wherein the updates comprise at least one of

security updates or service packs.

21. (Currently amended) The system of Claim 18, wherein the clean runtime

component is configured to, if a self-governance compliance check performed by the clean

runtime component fails, send a message is sent from the clean runtime component to the clean

group server to indicate that the item should not be in the clean group.

22. (Currently amended) The system of Claim 18, wherein [[if]] the clean runtime

component is configured to send the add request to the clean group server only after the self-

governance compliance check passes, a message is sent from the clean runtime component to the

clean group server to provide information that will be used to evaluate whether the item should

be in the clean group.

23. (Currently amended) The system of Claim [[22]] 15, wherein after a message is

received to indicate that the item should be placed in the clean group server is configured to,

after designating the item as a member of the clean group, start a countdown; is started and if

another message add request is not received by the end of the countdown, the item is removed

clean group server is configured to remove the item from the clean group.

24. (Canceled)

LAW OFFICES OF CHRISTENSEN O'CONNOR JOHNSON KINDNESSPILE 1420 Fifth Avenue Suite 2800

Suite 2800 Seattle, Washington 98101 206.682.8100

-5-

25. (Currently amended) The system of Claim 15, wherein the clean group server

initiates is configured to initiate a compliance check for items to determine if they should remain

in the clean group.

26. (Currently amended) One or more computer-readable media having

computer-executable components for providing security in a computer system, the

computer-executable components comprising:

a clean runtime object for installation on a computer, wherein the clean runtime object,

when executed, performs a compliance check to determines determine if the computer has a

specified set of properties, and sends an add request containing evidence relating to whether the

computer has the specified set of properties to a clean group server; and

instructions for installation on a clean group server for processing the add request,

wherein the instructions, when executed, cause the clean group server to designate instruct a

domain controller configured to store information identifying network users and resources to add

the computer as a member of a clean group upon receipt of an add request, if the clean group

server determines that the add request is valid contains sufficient evidence to prove that the

computer has the specified set of properties.

27. (Original) The media of Claim 26, wherein the compliance check is performed

initially upon installation of the runtime object.

28. (Currently amended) The media of Claim 26, wherein the compliance check

comprises a determination of evidence indicates whether selected specified available updates

have been installed on the computer.

29. (Currently amended) The media of Claim 28, wherein the selected specified

available updates comprise at least one of security updates or service packs.

LAW OFFICES OF CHRISTENSEN O'CONNOR JOHNSON KINDNESSPLE 1420 Fifth Avenue Suite 2800

Suite 2800 Seattle, Washington 98101 206.682.8100

-6-

30. (Currently amended) The media of Claim 26, wherein after the add request is

received by the clean group server, a countdown is started and if another message is not received

by the end of the countdown, the clean group server instructs the domain controller to remove

the computer is removed as a member of from the clean group.

31. (Previously presented) The media of Claim 26, wherein the clean runtime object

initiates a compliance check on the computer.

32. (Previously presented) The media of Claim 26, wherein the clean group server

communicates with the runtime object to initiate a compliance check.

33. (Currently amended) A method for providing security in a computer system,

comprising:

selecting specifying a set of properties for use in determining if a computer is clean;

evaluating a computer to determine if it has the specified set of properties;

sending an add request to a clean group server; and

based on whether or not the clean group server determines that the computer is in

compliance, the clean group server disabling or enabling the computer domain account on a

domain controller, the domain controller configured to store information identifying network

users and resources.

34. (Currently amended) The method of Claim 33, wherein when a new computer

domain account is to be added to the domain account, the new computer's domain account is

placed in a disabled state until the associated computer is proved to the clean group server to be

-7-

in compliance.

LAW OFFICES OF CHRISTENSEN O'CONNOR JOHNSON KINDNESSPILE 1420 Fifth Avenue

206.682.8100

35. (Currently amended) The method of Claim 33, wherein when a new computer

domain account is to be added to the domain account, the domain join operation that creates the

new computer domain account is predicated on proving that the computer is in compliance by

requiring the clean group server to participate in the domain join operations.

36. (Previously presented) The method of Claim 33, wherein evaluating a computer

comprises determining whether available updates have been installed on the computer.

37. (Original) The method of Claim 33, wherein the computer periodically performs

compliance checks.

38. (Previously presented) The method of Claim 33, wherein the clean group server

periodically initiates a compliance check on the computer.

39. (Currently amended) A method for providing security in a computer system,

comprising:

performing compliance checks for items;

placing items which pass the compliance check into a clean group by communicating

with a domain controller, the domain controller configured to store information identifying

network users and resources; and

removing items from the clean group which fail the compliance check;

wherein items within the clean group can access a collection of IPSec communication

requirements and parameters that allow them to communicate with other items within the clean

group; and

items not within the clean group cannot access the collection of IPSec communication

requirements and parameters, and are thereby quarantined from receiving information from or

sending information to items within the clean group.

LAW OFFICES OF CHRISTENSEN O'CONNOR JOHNSON KINDNESSPALE 1420 Fifth Avenue

Suite 2800 Seattle, Washington 98101 206.682.8100

-8-

40. (Original) The method of Claim 39, wherein after an item passes a compliance check and is placed in the clean group, a countdown is started and if another compliance check is

not passed by the end of the countdown, the item is removed from the clean group.

41. (Original) The method of Claim 39, wherein the item is a computer.

42. (Original) The method of Claim 39, wherein the item performs a compliance

check.

43. (Original) The method of Claim 39, wherein a clean group server initiates a

compliance check on the item.

44. (Original) The method of Claim 39, wherein the compliance check is performed

by the item communicating with an update Web site to determine if updates are available for the

item.

45. (Original) The method of Claim 44, wherein the item communicates with a clean

group server to establish its membership in the clean group.

46. (Canceled)

47. (Previously presented) The method of Claim 39, wherein a compliance check is

initiated by one or more of a client coming online, changes in client status/configuration, changes

in network status/configuration, or changes to a compliance policy.

48. (Original) The method of Claim 39, wherein a clean group server communicates

to non-compliant items how to get back into compliance.

LAW OFFICES OF CHRISTENSEN O'CONNOR JOHNSON KINDNESSPLLC 1420 Fifth Avenue

Suite 2800 Seattle, Washington 98101 206 682 8100

-9-

49. (Original) The method of Claim 48, wherein the non-compliant items are directed

to a Web site with online instructions to the user, and once the instructions are followed, another

server-assisted compliance check is initiated.

50. (Original) The method of Claim 48, wherein the non-compliant items are

instructed how to get into the compliant state automatically without requiring a user's

involvement.

51. (Currently amended) The method of Claim 39, wherein an item is a user, and a

user's clean group membership is evaluated on the basis of whether the user's computer each of a

set of computers associated with the user is in compliance.

52. (Canceled)

53. (Previously presented) The method of Claim 39, wherein items within the clean

group are given access to the collection of IPSec settings by binding active directory group

policy to the clean group membership such that only members of the clean group can read the

policy.

54-55. (Canceled)

56. (Previously presented) The method of Claim 39, wherein a client that changes

state from membership in the clean group to non-membership is required to clear all policy

settings distributed via the clean group.

57-59. (Canceled)

60. (Currently amended) The method of Claim 1, wherein further comprising

designating the item as a member of a dirty group if the clean group server determines that the

LAW OFFICES OF CHRISTENSEN O'CONNOR JOHNSON KINDNESSPLIC 1420 Fifth Avenue

Suite 2800 Seattle, Washington 98101 206.682.8100 item does not have the specified set of properties, the clean group server designating the item as a member of a dirty group.

61. (Currently amended) The system of Claim 15, wherein if the clean group server

determines that the item does not have the specified set of properties, the clean group server is

further configured to designate designating the item as a member of a dirty group if the evidence

sent by the clean runtime component is insufficient to prove that the item is in compliance with

the security policy.

62. (Currently amended) The method of Claim 8, wherein the invalidation of

invalidating the clean group membership of the item comprises local actions including at least

erasing the domain credentials of the item.

63. (Previously presented) The method of Claim 7, wherein if the compliance check

fails, additional steps are taken including at least logging out a privileged user.

LAW OFFICES OF
CHRISTENSEN O'CONNOR JOHNSON KINDNESSPILE
1420 Fifth Avenue
Suite 2800
Seattle, Washington 98101
206.682 8100